on, I believe, a -- I think his statement was a

1	blue sh	irt and blu	e jeans.	Officers	at that
2	time re	sponded to	the area.	At that	time, we
3	were un	able to loc	ate the ve	hicle	

- Q. Okay. What else did Mr. Wilkey say? What had she been doing?
- A. He said when she got out of the vehicle that her pants were unbuttoned and unzipped. And then according to his statement, which he'll testify to, I suppose, he said that she looked like she was under the influence of something.
- Q. And that's -- is that the information that you had when you responded to the area where this allegedly took place?
- A. At the time we responded, the only information that we had was the fact that she had come up to his house and asked about heroin. I got the statement from him after the incident had already occurred.
- Q. Okay. All right. And so you were in the area looking for this vehicle that she was driving?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Did you find the vehicle?
 - A. Yes, sir. After -- we were unable to locate it in that area. I was coming back into town on 60 eastbound when I located it at approximately

Cairo and 60.

- Q. Okay. And what did you observe the vehicle doing?
- A. As I was passing the vehicle, she had her left blinker on as if she was going to turn out in the passing lane, but she never did.

X

And then as I was going to go ahead and go past her, I noticed that the license plate -- it was a Washington license plate was the description that was also given at the time of call. So when I noticed that, I slowed down and let her go back by me, and then when I pulled in behind her, she pulled over.

- Q. At the time the defendant pulled her vehicle over, were your emergency -- or were your lights activated?
- A. No. I just pulled in behind her, and she started to pull over. That's when I lit her up.
- Q. And that was -- you say you lit her up after she pulled over; is that correct?
- A. Yes. I activated my emergency equipment when I seen that she was going to go ahead and pull over.
- Q. Okay. What did you observe when you approached

270-443-9631

the defendant?

A. Upon contact with her, I went ahead and asked her to step out of the vehicle first thing. Of course, I asked her about the allegations that had been made. I seen that she has glassy eyes. Of course, then I also noticed that her pants were unbuttoned and unzipped, and I believe even one pant leg was rolled halfway up.

Whenever we -- we asked her about the complaint. She, of course, denied being out there to -- she denied being out there to buy heroin, obviously. She said she was looking for the law school. She was trying to find the law school, which I believe is out there at Information Age Park.

- Q. Okay. So you saw she had glassy eyes. Did you notice anything in the vehicle?
- A. She had an empty -- or, I'm sorry, a full -- a cup of beer sitting in the console and then I believe a wine bottle that was open in the floorboard over on the passenger side.
- Q. Did you conduct field sobriety tests?
- A. I conducted an HGN, which she showed six clues on the HGN. When I asked her about the



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	İ
18	
19	
20	

balancin	ıgı t	test, she	said that	t she'd	had prior
surgery	on	her back	or her as	nkle and	d didn't
perform		or could	not perf	orm any	balancing
tests.	So	I did not	perform	those.	

- Q. Okay. What does six clues on the HGN mean?
- A. Six clues is -- lack of smooth pursuit is the first clues. When you ask the subject to follow your finger, her eyes -- her eyes do not follow in a smooth fashion or in a smooth pursuit. She had nystagmus [inaudible] 45-degree angle, and then she had nystagmus at max deviation, which is out the corner of her eye she had nystagmus.
- Q. Now, what do these six clues on the HGN indicate to you as an officer?
- A. That she was impaired or intoxicated on some substance.
- Q. And then what did you do?
- A. I then began to ask her about -- more indepth about what was going on with the heroin. I asked why this gentleman would call out of the blue and ask why she was, you know, trying to buy heroin from him, and she referred to him as a snitch.

The officer -- then I asked if she was on

21

22

23

my prescription medications, and then she told me she was on Adderall, Metoprolol and Clonazepam.

At that time, I had not placed her under arrest yet. So I went ahead and gave content to search with Deputy Walters as a witness to the consent, and we located the pill bottles that she said that she was on prescription for, as well as, obviously, the full can of beer and half-empty bottle of wine in the floorboard.

- Q. Now, I assume you placed her under arrest at some point?
- A. At that time after we located all of that, I placed her under arrest for DUI and then transported her to Lourdes for a blood sample.
- Q. Okay. Did you find anything in your vehicle after -- on transporting the defendant?
- A. Once I got to Lourdes, I saw that -- when she got out of the vehicle, I saw the small baggy and her watch was sitting in the crack of where my seat belt was.
- Q. Small baggy and what?
- A. Small baggy and her watch was laying there to where the seat belt comes up, you know, in the back seat of the Crown Vic's.

1 MR. KITCHEN: That's all the questions I 2 have, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Kautz? MR. KAUTZ: Thank you, sir. 4 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KAUTZ: 6 Q. Deputy, you first received this call relative 7 to the complaint at what time? 8 Α. I believe the report shows 2019, which is 9 8:19 p.m. 10 Q. And while we're on the subject of the report, 11 I've been furnished by Mr. Kitchen's office 12 this uniform offense report which contains a 13 narrative and then one, two -- two other pages, 14 a total of four pages. 15 Α. The only other thing I have is a copy of the 16 citation. 17 Citation itself? Q. 18 Α. I have the [inaudible] for the cocaine and 19 Mr. Wilkey's statement. 20 Q. Okay. And so you say you received the call at 21 what time, sir? 22 Α. My report shows 2019, which is 8:19 p.m. 23 Q. Okay. And do you know what time Ms. -- this 24 suspect had been dealing with Mr. Wilkey?

Α.

25

I do not know what time as far as when she

- was -- when she was actually at Mr. Wilkey's 1 2 house? 3
 - Q. Right.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I assume -- I believe it was before that. Α.
- 5 Sure. But without reference to assumption --Q.
 - I don't know exactly when, no. Α.
- Okay. Just at some time prior to your 7 ο. 8 receiving a call?
 - A call from him, yes. Α.
 - Q. A person -- and what was the description of this person that you were given?
 - Just the blond female is all that was in the Α. description of the initial report and then the dark blue Buick LeSabre with the Washington tag.
 - 0. Okay. And so the initial report was that at some point prior to your receiving this information, a subject matching this description in a vehicle matching this description --
 - Α. Correct.
 - -- had asked Mr. Wilkey about purchasing Q. heroin?
 - That's what the report says. Α.
 - And is that just what you remember about what Q.

		1
1		was radioed over the
2	A.	That's what the yeah. That's what they told
3		us. They dispatched myself and Deputy Walters
4		to the area.
5	Q.	But you don't have, like, the 911 records or
6		anything like that?
7	A.	No. Not with me, no.
8	Q.	You're just testifying from memory?
9	A.	Correct.
10	Q.	Okay. And so how long did it take you to
11		respond to the area of Queensway Drive?
12	A.	That's quite a ways. I would say, just
13		estimating, 20 minutes probably.
14	Q.	So you came from downtown?
15	A.	Probably downtown somewhere. I think I might
16		have even been on the east side at that time.
17	Q.	Okay. And so did you have contact with
18		Mr. Wilkey when you responded to the Queensway
19		Drive area?
20	A.	Not until after I had made contact with her,
21		no.
22	Q.	Okay. So, basically, you were looking for a
23		dark blue LeSabre with
24	A.	With Washington plates.

Q.

25

-- Washington plates?

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Didn't find it in the area of Queensway Drive?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. And so you were heading back to Paducah on new U.S. 60?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And that's where you observed my client --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- driving toward Paducah, I assume?
 - A. Yes, sir. I was coming up behind her. We were both in the eastbound lanes. She was in the right lane, and I was coming up in the left lane.
 - Q. Okay. And, apparently, your testimony is that she had on her turn signal?
 - A. She had her left turn signal on as if she was going to come into the left lane. That's what brought my attention to that vehicle to begin with. And then as I was passing her, I noticed it had Washington tags on it.
 - Q. So I guess there's at least a possibility she was going to move into the left lane and --
 - A. Right.
 - Q. -- saw your vehicle and elected not to?
 - A. Correct: That's possible.

Q. No problems there?

25

A. As far as I know, no.

- Q. Okay. The glasses you're wearing are to help you see at distance?
- A. Correct.
- Q. When you're wearing those glasses, can you see up close fine?
- A. Yes.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. So when you realized it was the vehicle -- that it could be the vehicle you were looking for, you went ahead and, I guess, slowed down and let the vehicle pass you?
 - A. Let her pass me back, and then I got behind her, and then that's when she started to pull over. So I went ahead and activated my lights.
 - Q. Okay. But you activated your lights even before she came to a complete stop?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Because I know that in what you told the grand jury was you stopped the vehicle and asked her to exit.
- 20 A. Right.
 - Q. So, technically, you did stop the vehicle?
 - A. I was going to, anyway, yes. When she started to pull over, I just went ahead and turned my lights on.
 - Q. Okay. So she wasn't -- okay.

At what point did her turn signal -- did 1 2 she -- did that --3 She turned her other turn signal on when she Α. was -- when she was going into the emergency lane just to stop. When she was getting ready to pull over? Q. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. I'm sure that -- and so the only 9 inappropriate -- she responded fairly quickly 10 to your signal -- well --11 Α. Yes. She was already beginning to --12 Q. When you fell in behind her, she pretty much --13 She -- yeah. I suppose she assumed I was going Α. 14 to stop her, so she went ahead and pulled over, 15 anyway. 16 Safe assumption under those circumstances? Q. 17 Α. Right. 18 The first thing you would have asked her to do Q. 19 is produce some sort of ID? Identification, proof of insurance. 20 Α. 21 Q. No problem doing that? 22 Α. No. 23 Q. And then you would have asked her, I'm sure, to 24 exit the vehicle? 25 Α. Yes.

- 22
- to find down there.

I understand that.

24

23

- Is the sound quality, if you remember, of poor Q. quality as far as when she's talking in the
- 25

Α.

		-
1		back seat of the car?
2	Α.	You can make out some things she's saying,
3		because I I could hear, so I knew what she
4		was going to say on the tape. If a bystander
5		was to listen it, they may not be able to
6		understand it.
7	Q.	So if my copy is of pretty bad sound quality,
8		that in all probability, the original's not
9		much better?
10	A.	Correct.
11	Q.	When you asked her to exit the vehicle, she had
12		no problem doing that?
13	A.	Not that I recall.
14	Q.	And I know there's nothing on your citation
15		about her being unsteady on her feet or
16		anything like that.
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	No slurred speech?
19	A.	No, I don't believe so.
20	Q.	The only manifestation, I guess, of being under
21		the influence that I've heard at this point is

22

- 24
- 25

Α.

very nervous. As far as once you observe

The glassy eyes, and then I believe I testified

in the preliminary she was just very -- just

something about glassy eyes.

	people, you can tell that they're nervous, and
	she appeared very nervous.
Q.	And it is true that very often people who have
	done little or nothing wrong are nervous when
	they're pulled over by police officers?
A.	Sure. That's a possibility, yes.
Q.	So pretty much what you're talking about is
	glassiness to her eyes?
A.	Yes, sir.
Q.	Okay. And no inappropriate driving other than
	the turn signal?
A.	Sure.
Q.	The only field sobriety test you had her do
	no, wait. You had her
Α.	Just the HGN, because she told me that she had
	had a back surgery and I think ankle surgery
	back surgery and she had a bad ankle.
Q.	Now, and this I'm going to ask the judge at
	his leisure probably to look at the videotape
	at least up to the point of the arrest.
A.	Correct.
Q.	Would you agree with me that she was
	appeared to be steady on her feet?
Α.	For the most part, yes, sir. I didn't notice
	that she was unsteady, or I would have
	A. Q. A. Q.

			1
1		documented it.	
2	Q.	Okay. So pretty much, it's your practice if	
3	1	considering charging DUI to document all of	
4		those things that would be consistent with	
5		alcohol or drug impairment?	
6	A.	Yes.	
7	Q.	And pretty much what you documented in this	
8		case is the performance on the HGN	
9	A.	Yes.	
10	Q.	and the blood not bloodshot, but glassy	
11		eyes?	
12	Α.	Yes, sir.	
13	Q.	Okay. Do you know whether or not	
14		Ms. Leatherman has any allergy-type condition	
15		that might explain the eyes or whether she	
16		wears contacts, anything like that?	
17	A.	One of the questions that you ask before you	
18		give an HGN, I always ask them if they have	
19		contacts in, and she said no. And then I don't	:
20		believe I even mentioned allergies or she never	:
21		mentioned them to me, so that wasn't an issue.	
22	Q.	Okay. I take it you received the training on	
23		the administration of the HGN?	
24	A.	Yes, sir.	

And you wouldn't make an arrest in a DUI case

	1	
1		based strictly on the HGN?
2	Α.	Correct. That with the open the beer and
3		just the corroboration of the evidence.
4	Q.	Okay. And now that you've brought me to the
5		point of the beer, you say there was a cup that
6		had some alcoholic beverage, some beer in it?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	And then there was also, I guess, a partially
9		consumed bottle of wine in the car?
10	A.	In the floorboard, I believe.
11	Q.	And I take it it had a cork in it?
12	Α.	Yes. It wasn't [inaudible].
13	Q.	Ms. Leatherman was given a portable breath
14		test, a PBT, on the side of the road?
15	Α.	I believe Deputy Walters gave her one, yes.
16	Q.	And she showed no alcohol in her system; is
17		that correct?
18	A.	I don't think so. I can't remember what the
19		PBT showed. I know that the lab results came
20		back zero.
21	Q.	Okay. So you're aware that to get ahead of
22		myself, at some point, you took her to the
23		hospital, and she agreed to take a blood test?

Α.

24

25

And that came back zero zero for alcohol?

She contented to a blood test, yes.

1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 Q. And were you -- and I don't think you've even 3 received --*I don't know what the lab -- the other lab 4 Α. 5 results back. 6 Okay. Is this the lab results you just talked Q. 7 about where it's come back zero zero for 8 alcohol? 9 Α. Yes, sir, it is. 10 And I'm going to present to you now? Q. 11 MR. KAUTZ: Judge, could I ask that this 12 be marked Defense -- or could I mark it as Defense Exhibit No. 1? Do you have a sticker? 13 14 And No. 2. 15 Q. Deputy, I know you haven't seen this, because the secretary of the Commonwealth Attorney's 16 17 has just caused this to be faxed to her from 18 the lab, but I'm going to present you an exhibit that I've caused to be marked Defense 19 20 Exhibit No. 2. 21 Does this appear to be the -- a lab result 22 where they found no drugs in Ms. Leatherman's

blood?

- Α. That's what the lab report says.
- Okay. And this -- this lab result indicates Q.

23

24

1 that date and time taken 6/28/06, 2215? 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 Q. By Marisa Mason, Lourdes Hospital? 4 Α. Yes, sir. 5 And is that the very same information contained Q. 6 on the alcohol lab report? 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 Okay. And I don't know if you were even aware. ο. 9 Did you know that one lab, for whatever reason, tests for alcohol and then they send it 10 11 somewhere else? 12 Α. I wasn't aware of that until that point. MR. KAUTZ: Move for the admission of 1 13 and 2, Your Honor. 14 15 MR. KITCHEN: No objection. 16 Q. Now, en route to the hospital, there was, as I 17 recall from listening to the tape, very little 18 conversation between you and Ms. Leatherman? 19 A. From what I remember, yes. 20 You didn't see Ms. Leatherman hide anything? Q. 21 A. No, I did not. 22 Ms. Leatherman was searched thoroughly on the Q. 23 side of the road, was she not? 24 I called for a female officer to search her, A. 25 yes.

1 And that would be Paducah Police Officer Q. 2 Gretchen Dawes? 3 Α. Gretchen searched her, yes, sir. 4 And she even -- I think Ms. Leatherman even Q. 5 before Gretchen arrived had emptied her 6 pockets? 7 [Inaudible] her to empty her pockets out, yes, Α. 8 sir. 9 Q. And that would be, I guess, her front two 10 pockets? 11 Α. Yes. And I know because of the female/male thing, 12 Q. 13 you really weren't comfortable doing a complete 14 body search yourself, which is why you --15 Α. Her pants were undone, so I wasn't going to 16 touch her. 17 Which is why -- well, were her pants still Q. 18 undone when Gretchen arrived? 19 I assume. I don't think she ever buttoned them Α. 20 back up. I don't remember. 21 Okay. And then Gretchen Dawes conducted a more Q. 22 thorough search, correct? 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 And that would have been after Rachel was Q.

arrested?

- 1 A. I believe so, or just prior to.
- Q. And under the circumstances, Gretchen was not only looking for weapons, but also looking for possible drugs?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And found none?
- 7 A. Anything illegal, yes, sir.
- Q. And Gretchen even patted down -- or put her hands actually in her rear pockets, did she not?
- 11 A. I assume so, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. As far as you could tell, Gretchen conducted a thorough search?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. En route to the hospital, you didn't detect any movement in the back seat that would suggest that Rachel was trying to hide something?
- 18 A. No, sir, I did not.
 - Q. When did you first see or realize that something may have been hidden by my client?
 - A. Whenever I pulled her out of the car is when I seen it where she was sitting.
 - Q. And what -- at that point, what did you see?
 - A. I just seen the watch and then the small baggy sitting -- it was in plain view right there in

1 that crack where the seat belt comes up. 2 Q. So you could see both? 3 Α. I could see both, yes, sir. Q. 4 Did you say anything to Rachel about that? Α. Not at that time. 6 Q. Why not? 7 Α. I just secured the vehicle. Because I was 8 going to ask for blood, and I knew that she 9 would be less than cooperative if I'd said 10 anything to her at that time. 11 Q. Okay. I know there's a crack where the seat 12 part of your back seat meets the back? 13 Right, and there's the crease that the seat Α. 14 belt comes up. Those seats come completely 15 out, so they're designed a little differently. 16 Q. Is the bottom part plastic? 17 Α. No. It's leather, pleather, whatever it is. 18 But I can pull that completely out of the --19 out of the car. 20 So are you saying there's a little, like, Q. 21 indent where the seat belt comes out? 22 Right. Just a little -- you got a crease here, Α. 23 and then the seat belt would come out in a

the base.

24

25

little U-shape, and the seat belt comes up from

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

- Q. And that's where you saw the watch and what appeared --
 - A. It was sitting right there. What appeared to be a controlled substance, yes.
 - Q. Under the watch? On top of the watch?
 - A. The watch -- I believe the watch was laying on top of the -- in the report, it just says that she dropped her watch down the same crack.
 - Q. Well, but actually it wasn't -- didn't go down the crack, apparently.
 - A. It was -- I could see both of them. It was in the crack in technicality, but it was right in -- right in the crack when she got out from where she was sitting.
 - Q. You're talking about this little indention?
- 16 A. [Inaudible.]
 - Q. Okay. And so you took her inside, told her -gave her the implied consent, she agreed to
 submit to a blood test?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. You brought her back -- I take it you cuffed her?
- A. On the way in. I didn't cuff her back -- to come out, no.
 - Q. You knew there was possibly drugs?

_	1	4
1	Α.	Right. I was going to retrieve them. I was
2		I was wanting to her reaction whenever she came
3		back to the car.
4	Q.	And which side did do you have a key lock
5		thing on your car?
6	A.	No. I have not a remote.
7	Q.	And so you obviously felt there were drugs in
8		your car, you locked your car?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	So then when you-all got back to the car?
11	A.	She went to the other side. She walked I
12		put her behind me whenever we were going to the
13		jail, and then she walked to the other side of
14		the car whenever we whenever we come back
15		out.
16	Q.	Was she not in your custody to some extent, or
17		was she just
18	Α.	She was walking beside me, yes.
19	Q.	Okay. And when she walked to the other side of
20		the car, what happened?
21	A.	I retrieved the watch and the
22	Q.	Because she couldn't even get in the car until
23		you unlocked it?
24	A.	Unlocked it, yes.
25	Q.	So you unlocked the car?

So you unlocked the car?

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. You went into the back seat --
- 3 A. Right.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

- Q. -- and retrieved the watch and the what we now know to be cocaine?
- 6 A. Cocaine, yes, sir.
- 7 Q. What, if anything, did she say?
 - A. She just said -- she denied it. She said it wasn't hers. I don't know her exact words, but she said it wasn't her cocaine. I think she made a statement that hundreds of people come through my back seat.
 - Q. I seem to remember in your report or maybe prior testimony your acknowledging that she asked you -- that she mentioned having dropped her watch.
 - A. Dropped her watch.
 - Q. At what point did she do that?
- A. Whenever -- I guess whenever she seen it. I

 don't -- I don't remember that exactly. I

 don't know.
 - Q. You don't remember whether that was before you-all went in the hospital?
 - A. It was before. It was before we went into the hospital, I believe. I'm not 100 percent sure,

- so I can't say.
- Q. So you're thinking -- your best --
- A. I know that she brought it up, that -- I remember her saying that she had dropped her watch.
 - Q. Okay. And so she told you she dropped her watch even before you saw the watch and the suspected cocaine?
 - A. I don't remember what she told me, but that she dropped the watch.
 - Q. That's not clear on the videotape, or I wouldn't be asking you this many questions.
 - A. Right.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Have you tried to listen to that part?
- A. I honestly don't remember. I haven't listened to it in a long time, and it's been five months since I've listened to the tape.
- Q. Okay. So, basically, she -- I don't know how you pronounce this word -- vehemently denied --
- A. Denied.
- Q. -- that the drugs were hers, although she admitted the watch was hers?
- 23 A. True.
- Q. And she actually -- you gave her her watch back?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 .

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. I'm sure I did, yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. At any point -- what did you say she said when you asked her about whether she had been to Queensway Drive?
 - A. In the report, it says when I asked her if she'd been there, she said that she went up to a gentleman's house asking him about some birds that she had. I don't remember that off the top of my head, but that's what's in my report.
 - Q. And when Mr. Wilkey gave his statement, he also said that there was some conversation about birds; am I correct, sir?
 - A. Barrels or -- it's hard to read his statement.

 It's hard to read his handwriting, to be honest.
 - Q. Did you take his statement, or was that some other officer?
 - A. I took it. I went back out there, I believe, the next day and got his statement.
 - Q. And his statement that is in your papers --
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- doesn't make reference to Rachel attempting to purchase drugs?
- A. It does not. I didn't want to alter the statement in any way as far as to lead him into

1 writing anything. So I just told him to write 2 a statement about what happened, and he wrote 3 it. That's all. Thank you. MR. KAUTZ: 5 THE COURT: Do you have any questions, Mr. Kitchen? 6 7 MR. KITCHEN: Yes, sir. 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KITCHEN: Deputy McGuire, prior to your placing the 9 Q. defendant in the back of your cruiser, had you 10 11 searched the back of your cruiser? 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 Okay. And when did you do that? 0. She was the first one to be placed in my 14 Α. 15 vehicle in that workweek. Two days prior to that, I'd inspected it and actually vacuumed 16 17 the back seat. My car was going in for 18 maintenance at Deputy Terry Long's house. 19 went ahead and -- I search the back seat before 20 and after inmates come in and beginning and end 21 of shift. But I'd actually taken the seat out and 22 vacuumed the seat out and cleaned the back seat 23 24 out prior to that workweek. 25 MR. KITCHEN: No further questions.

1		MR. KAUTZ: Nothing.
2	<u> </u>	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THE COURT:
3	Q.	Let me make sure I understand.
4		You said that she was she was starting
5		to pull over, anyway, before you ever put the
6	j	lights on?
7	Α.	Right. She switched her turn signal on to the
8		right and began to turn into the emergency
9		lane. That's why we I activated my
10		emergency lights.
11	Q.	You had the sense that she was knew you were
12		going to pull her over or something?
13	A.	Yes, sir.
14	Q.	When she was pulling into this emergency lane,
15		you hadn't given her any signal to pull over?
16	A.	She just seen me go come up to her, and then
17		when I backed off and got back behind her is
18		the only thing. I didn't motion to her to pull
19		over or anything like that, no, sir.
20	Q.	Okay. And this crack where this thing was
21	,	located, did you say it was where the seat belt
22		comes up between the seat?
23	Α.	Yes, sir. It's right there. The seat belt
24		goes up, and then it was it was in that
25		crack along with her watch.

1	Ω.	The crack is the place where the seat, the back
2		of the seat
3	Α.	It's hard. Like, the like, the seat in the
4		back comes up, and there's little notches like
5		that where the seat belt comes up out. And it
6		was, like, wedged in that little vortex right
7		there.
8	Ω.	All right. And the watch was in that same
9	A.	It was in that area, yes, sir.
10	Q.	It was not down in the crack?
11	A.	Right. I could see the watch, yes, sir. I
12		could see both.
13		THE COURT: All right. No other
. 14		questions. You can step down, sir.
15		Any other proof?
16		MR. KITCHEN: No, sir.
17		THE COURT: Any proof, Mr. Kautz?
18		MR. KAUTZ: No, sir, no proof.
19		THE COURT: Let me ask you something
20		[inaudible].
21		FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THE COURT:
22	Q.	Deputy, when you began to pull her over, did
23		you notice that well, I don't guess you
24		could see her pants probably, but could you
25		tell whether she had this blue shirt on?

- A. We didn't know about the blue shirt. All I knew, that she was a blond. That's all I knew until -- the blue shirt came into the play whenever I went back to his house the next day, and he described her for me, the blue shirt and the blue jeans. That's what he wrote on his statement. So all I knew was that it was a blond, and then I had a vehicle description.
- Q. You knew it was a black female --
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- or he told you white female?
- A. In my report, it just says blond female subject with blond hair and then gave the vehicle description.

THE COURT: All right. Any argument, Will, you'd like to make?

MR. KAUTZ: Yes, sir, Judge.

To begin with, it appears from the testimony we've heard that the deputy responded to the area of Queensway Drive based upon information from a named individual, Vernon Wilkey, that a blond with a dark blue LeSabre, dark blue car, with Washington plates had inquired about heroin. What we don't know is when that inquiry had been made.

The Deputy was quite candid, I guess, in telling you that he knows when he received the call, but he doesn't know when dispatch received the information or how recent or stale the information may have been by the time it found its way to dispatch.

I certainly take the position that my client was stopped, and basically speaking, as soon as he hit those blue lights, she wasn't free to go. If she had -- if she was preparing to voluntarily pull over, anticipating she was going to be stopped, then that may not be a stop except as soon as he hit the blue lights. If she'd taken off then, we'd have had an eluding charge. So, certainly, state action is implicated as soon as those emergency lights are activated.

And then the question becomes, with what reasonable suspicion of criminal activity?

Even if she had been the person to inquire about purchasing drugs, that certainly doesn't mean she has any. As a matter of fact, it probably suggests the other way, the contrary, she doesn't have any, or she wouldn't be asking to purchase.

And so, basically, the stop in this case is based on information given by presumably Mr. Wilkey about some contact between he and a blond lady driving a Washington state car. But at what point that contact occurred, this officer had no idea. And I think the stop was based on less than reasonable suspicion of criminal activity, which, as you know, is the standard for a stop.

The arrest -- and that's a whole separate issue then. Obviously, an arrest requires probable cause. Basically speaking, the only evidence that we have heard that would justify an arrest based on probable cause would be this glassy eyes and horizontal gaze nystagmus. And as we know from the results, she had no blood and no alcohol in her -- no alcohol in her system and no drugs in her system.

And I got a little bit sloppy. I said earlier that I wanted you to look at the videotape, and I still do. I'd certainly like that to be marked as Defense Exhibit No. 3, because I think you'll agree with me when you look at the videotape -- and, really, all that's important is what happened between the

270-443-9631

initial contact and Rachel's arrest.

I think you'll agree with me that she seemed to be anything but under the influence. She's solid as a rock standing around out

there.

And I know you've had a long day and no lunch break, but I would ask that you -- that you take a look at it and you consider the videotape at least up to the point of Rachel's being placed in the police cruiser, because I think you'll agree with me that the tape suggests she wasn't under the influence.

I think she was probably arrested based on some kind of hunch that there might be drugs in her system based upon what they had already knew or thought they knew from Mr. Wilkey. But in reality, there were no drugs in her system, and there was no alcohol in her system. And there wasn't probable cause to make an arrest or reasonable suspicion to make the stop.

So I'd ask, Judge, that you go ahead and at your leisure review this tape, if you would. I guess it should be marked Defense Exhibit No. 3. Of course, if you want to take the time to do that now, that would be fine with me, but

I know you've had a long day.

THE COURT: [Inaudible.]

MR. KAUTZ: And I've cued that up. There were other sheriff's office stops on that video, and what I did was started it at the beginning of Rachel's case.

And, certainly, I'm prepared -- if you want me to submit some law, Judge, I'm prepared to submit a memorandum of law on these issues.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. KITCHEN: Yes, sir.

Judge, what we had here was basically a citizen tip that's not an anonymous tip. It's a citizen tip, an identified citizen, Vernon Wilkey, who told the police that he'd observed a lady, blond-haired lady with her pants unzipped and one leg rolled up, who came to his door to buy heroin. That in itself is a bizarre occurrence. He gave a prescription of a dark blue car with Washington license plate.

Deputy McGuire saw this vehicle, dark blue car, Washington license plate, blond-haired lady driving it, noticed that she had her left turn signal on, did not -- was not making a left turn. He noticed that when he got behind

her, she was pulling off to the side of the road. He got in -- he initiated his blue lights after she was pulling to the side of the road.

I think that in and of itself, even independent of the citizen tip, would be enough to conduct a brief investigative stop to find out why she was pulling to the side of the road. He didn't know if she needed assistance, what have you. And as we're looking at stops, you know, the subjective intent of the police officer is, is there -- is whether there was objective evidence.

So based upon -- we're not just relying on either one of those. We're looking at the totality of the circumstances.

And, certainly, the totality of the circumstances when he identified the person who a citizen had said had tried to buy heroin from him, then is initiating -- she's got her left turn signal on, she's not turning, then she pulls over to the side of the road and he pulls behind her, that gives him a reasonable suspicion that criminal activity was afoot, and that justified a brief investigative stop.

When he gets out into the vehicle, he noticed she has glassy eyes. She has open alcohol in the car. She failed her HGN. And she -- when asked about going to Queensbury Way [sic], she referred to that person as a snitch. That's bizarre behavior, Judge. That's not behavior we would expect from somebody.

I think Deputy McGuire was justified in believing that she was in some type of altered state and was impaired and not able to safely drive an automobile. Based on those totalities of the circumstances, he had probable cause to conduct the arrest.

And once -- I think those are really the only suppression issues. Was the traffic stop reasonable, and did he have a probable cause to make the arrest? And the answer is "yes" in both of those cases, Judge.

THE COURT: Are you wanting to submit something, Mr. Kautz?

MR. KAUTZ: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: [Inaudible.]

MR. KAUTZ: Could you give me two weeks?

Ten days?

THE COURT: Ten days?

1	MR. KAUTZ: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: Ten days.
3	You want a chance to respond?
4	MR. KITCHEN: Yes, sir, please.
5	THE COURT: Seven?
6	MR. KITCHEN: Seven is fine. Thank you,
7	Judge.
8	THE COURT: [Inaudible.] All right.
9	MR. KAUTZ: So, Judge, just for my
10	bookkeeping here, ten days, would that
11	include not including today, or how do you
12	want to do that?
13	THE COURT: Well, it's ten days from
14	today, which so that's Thursday.
15	MR. KAUTZ: That would be, I guess oh,
16	today is Monday, so that would be Thursday.
17	Okay. That'll work.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. KITCHEN: Your Honor, I will be out of
20	the office the week of the 11th of December,
21	and I'm not sure when this when I'm going to
22	receive the
23	MR. KAUT2: Thursday is what is that
24	date, Rachel?
25	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Your seven days would be

the 14th.

MR. KITCHEN: And that's going to be -five of those days, I'm going to be out of the
office.

MR. KAUTZ: Why don't you do this, can you give me 14 days, and that'll give him -- that'll bump his into a little more -- does that give you enough time, Tony?

MR. KITCHEN: I think so.

THE COURT: Okay. 14 days is --

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Will's is due the 11th and Tony's the 18th.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KITCHEN: Thank you, Judge.

(The above was transcribed to the best of the transcriptionist's ability and is not a certified true, correct and complete transcript.)